

# COMPARISON OF DASCHLE AND GREGG EXPEDITED RESCISSION AMENDMENTS

<b>DASCHLE AMENDMENT (1995)</b>	<b>GREGG AMENDMENT (2007)</b>
Established Fast Track Congressional Process For Consideration Of Presidential Rescissions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Required Congressional Affirmation Of Rescissions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Allowed President To Suspend Funds For Maximum Of 45 Days	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Does Not Permit President To Resubmit Rescissions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Allowed Rescissions Of Discretionary Funding and Targeted Tax Benefits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Did Not Allow Rescissions Of New Mandatory Spending	Permits Rescissions Of New Mandatory Spending
Only Allowed Motions To Strike; No Amendments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Permitted President To Send Up Rescission Package For <u>Each</u> Appropriations and Tax Bill	Only Permits <u>4</u> Rescission Packages Annually
Required Rescissions Savings Go For Deficit Reduction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

# **CURRENT SENATE DEMOCRATS WHO SUPPORTED DASCHLE AMENDMENT IN 1995**

**Akaka (D-HI)**

**Baucus (D-MT)**

**Biden (D-DE)**

**Bingaman (D-NM)**

**Boxer (D-CA)**

**Byrd (D-WV)**

**Conrad (D-ND)**

**Dodd (D-CT)**

**Dorgan (D-ND)**

**Feingold (D-WI)**

**Harkin (D-IA)**

**Inouye (D-HI)**

**Kohl (D-WI)**

**Lautenberg (D-NJ)**

**Leahy (D-VT)**

**Levin (D-MI)**

**Mikulski (D-MD)**

**Murray (D-WA)**

**Reid (D-NV)**

**Rockefeller (D-WV)**

# SENATOR BYRD

**“The Daschle substitute does not result in any shift of power from the legislative branch to the executive. It is clear cut. It gives the President the opportunity to get a vote...So I am 100 percent behind the substitute by Mr. Daschle.”**

**(Congressional Record, March 21, 1995)**

# SENATOR BYRD

**“I have no problem with giving the President another opportunity to select from appropriation bills certain items which he feels, for his reasons, whatever they may be, they may be political or for whatever reasons, I have no problem with his sending them to the two Houses and our giving him a vote.”**

**(Congressional Record, March 22, 1995)**

# SENATOR FEINSTEIN

**“Really, what a line-item veto is all about is deterrence, and that deterrence is aimed at the pork barrel. I sincerely believe that a line-item veto will work.”**

**(Congressional Record, March 21, 1995)**

# SENATOR FEINGOLD

**“The line-item veto is about getting rid of those items after the President has them on his desk. I think this will prove to be a useful tool in eliminating some of the things that have happened in Congress that have been held up to public ridicule.”**

**(Congressional Record, March 22, 1995)**

# SENATOR MURRAY

**“I want to give the President the ability to line-item veto all those portions of appropriation bills that have not been through the hearing and authorization process. All those pork items contribute to our deficit.”**

**(Congressional Record, March 23, 1995)**

# SENATOR DODD

**“I support the substitute offered by Senator Daschle. I believe it is a reasonable line-item veto alternative. It requires both Houses of Congress to vote on a President’s rescission list and sets up a fast-track procedure to ensure that a vote occurs in a prompt and timely manner.”**

**(Congressional Record, March 23, 1995)**

# SENATOR LEVIN

**“That so-called expedited rescission process it seems to me, is constitutional and is something which we can in good conscience, at least I in good conscience, support.”**

**(Congressional Record, March 27, 1996)**

# SENATOR BIDEN

**“Mr. President, I have long supported an experiment with a line-item veto power for the president.”**

**(Congressional Record, March 27, 1996)**

# SENATOR DORGAN

**“I have long believed that giving the President line-item veto authority will be helpful in imposing budget discipline. I think it will be helpful in preventing unsupportable spending projects from being added to spending bills without public notice, debate, or hearings.**

**I have voted for the line-item veto three times in the past three Congresses.”**

**(Congressional Record, April 25, 1996)**

# SENATOR DORGAN

**“Fully 43 Governors have the line-item veto, which suggests to me that it is a power that the President can safely wield...That is why I voted for it, and why I am pleased it is now the law of the land.”**

**(Congressional Record, April 25, 1996)**