79TH CONGRESS 2d Session

INVESTIGATION OF THE PEARL HARBOR ATTACK

REPORT

OF THE

JOINT COMMITTEE ON THE INVESTIGATION OF THE PEARL HARBOR ATTACK CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES

PURSUANT: TO

S. Con. Res. 27, 79th Congress

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO INVESTIGATE THE ATTACK ON PEARL HARBOR ON DECEMBER 7, 1941, AND EVENTS AND CIRCUM-STANCES RELATING THERETO

AND

ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF MR. KEEFE

. TOGETHER WITH

MINORITY VIEWS OF MR. FERGUSON AND MR. BREWSTER



JULY 20 (legislative day JULY 5), 1946—Ordered to be printed with illustrations

> UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON : 1946

90179

JOINT COMMITTEE ON THE INVESTIGATION OF THE PEARL HARBOR ATTACK Bun

ALBEN W. BARKLEY, Senator from Kentucky, Chairman JERE COOPER, Representative from Tennessee, Vice Chairman

WALTER F. GEORGE, Senator from Georgia JOHN W. MURPHY, Representative from SCOTT W. LUCAS, Senator from Illinois **OWEN BREWSTER, Senator from Maine** HOMER FERGUSON, Seuator from Michi-

gan J. BAYARD CLARK, Representative from

- North Carolina
- Pennsylvania BERTRAND W. GEARHART, Representa-
- tive from California
- FRANK B. KEEFE, Representative from Wisconsin

COUNSEL

(Through January 14, 1946)

WILLIAM D. MITCHELL, General Counsel GERHARD A. GESELL, Ohief Assistant Counsel JULE M. HANNAFORD, Assistant Counsel JOHN E. MASTEN, Assistant Counsel

(After January 14, 1946)

SETH W. RICHARDSON, General Counsel SAMUEL H. KAUFMAN. Associate General Counsel JOHN E. MASTEN, Assistant Counsel EDWARD P. MORGAN, Assistant Counsel LOGAN J. LAND, Assistant Counsel

II

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

UNITED STATES SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, D. C., July 20, 1946.

Hon. KENNETH MCKELLAR,

President pro tempore of the Senate.

Hon. SAM RAYBURN,

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT AND MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 27 (as extended), Seventy-ninth Congress, first session, the Joint Congressional Committee on the Investigation of the Pearl Harbor Attack has completed its work with a view to a full and complete investigation of the facts relating to the events and circumstances leading up to or following the attack made by Japanese armed forces upon Pearl Harbor in the Territory of Hawaii on December 7, 1941.

The committee has endeavored faithfully to discharge the duties assigned and respectfully submits herewith its report.

Sincerely yours,

ALBEN W. BARKLEY, Chairman. JERE COOPER, Vice Chairman.

111

_	
reword	
roductory statement	
rt I. Diplomatic background of the Pearl Harbor attack	
Japanese record of deceit and aggression Fundamental differences between American and Japanese J	
Fundamental differences between American and Japanese	policies
Steps taken by the United States to meet the threat of A	xis aggres-
sion	
Initial United States-Japanese negotiations: 1941	
Japanese proposal of May 12	
Japanese reaction to German invasion of Russia	
Temporary cessation of negotiations	
Freezing of assets	
Freezing of assets. Resumption of negotiations and proposed meeting of	President
Roosevelt and Premier Konove	
Japanese proposals of September 6 and 27	
Advent of the Tojo Cabinet	
Arrival of Saburo Kurusu	
Negotiations versus deadlines	
Negotiations versus deadlines Japanese ultimatum of November 20 and the modus vivends	<i>i</i>
United States memorandum of November 26	
Fraudulent nature of Jananese diplomacy-Novemb	er 28 to
December 7	
December 7 Diplomatic and military liaison in Washington Conclusions	
Conclusions	
rt II. The Japanese attack and its aftermath	
Formulation of the plan and date for execution	
Nature of the plan	
D parture for the attack	
Execution of the attack	
Air phase	*******
Submarine phase	
Withdrawal of the striking force	
Damage to United States naval forces and installations as	a regult of
	a toputy or
	a monult of
Damage to United States Army forces and installations as	# Learne of
the attack	
Japanese losses	
Summary comparison of losses	
State of readiness to meet the attack	
Attack a surprise	
Personnel	
Antiaircraft	
Aircraft	
Action taken following the attack	
Defensive forces and facilities of the Navy at Hawaii	
Defensive forces and facilities of the Army at Hawaii	
Comparison of strength and losses: Japanese attacking	
Hawaiian defensive forces	(ور د ^{ندر} د تو هم م م ور او
rt III. Responsibilities in Hawaii	• + + + +,- + +,+,+
Consciousness of danger from air attack	
-Admiral Kimmel's awareness of danger from air attack	K
General Short's awareness of danger from air attack	
Plans for the defense of Hawaiian coestal frontier	
Concept of the war in the Pacific Conclusions with respect to consciousness of dange	

∀_____* ₽

	_
Part III. Responsibilities in Hawaii—Continued	Pag
Information supplied Admiral Kimmel ⁴ by Washington indicating the	
imminence of war	89
imminence of war. Information supplied General Short by Washington indicating the	0.
imminone of war	100
imminence of war Action taken by Admiral Kimmel pursuant to warnings and orders	100
Action taken by Admiral Kimnel pursuant to warnings and orders	
from Washington Dispatch of October 16 from Chief of Naval Operations	103
Dispatch of October 16 from Chief of Naval Operations	103
Dispatch of November 24 from Chief of Naval Operations	104
The "War warning" dispatch of November 27	104
Evaluation of the "War warning" dispatch of November 27	107
On where the attack might come	107
On where the attack might come Other dispatches received on November 27	108
(Denoted and her denoted in November 27	
"Psychological handicaps" indicated by Admiral Kimmel	109
The "War warning" and training	110
The "War warning" and training. The term "Defensive deployment" and failure to institute distant	
reconnaissance	110
Action which was not taken upon receipt of the "War warning"	117
Estimate and action taken by General Short with respect to the warn-	
ing dispatch of November 27	119
ing dispatch of November 27 No warning of attack on Hawaii	120
No warning of autack of filawall	
Dispatches indicating threat of sabotage "Do-Don't" character of the November 27 dispatch and "Avoid-	121
"Do-Don't" character of the November 27 dispatch and "Avoid-	- حد د.
ance of war'	123
ance of war". Commanding general's reliance on the Navy	125
Interference with training	125
The order to undertake reconnaissance	126
The Short ronly	128
The Short reply Action which was not taken upon receipt of the November 27 dis-	1.00
not taken upon receipt of the rovember 21 dis-	129
patch	140
The "Code destruction" intelligence. General Short's knowledge of destruction of confidential matter by	130
General Short's knowledge of destruction of confidential matter by	
Japanese consulate The "Lost" Japanese carriers—Radio intelligence at Hawaii	131
The "Lost" Japanese carriers—Radio intelligence at Hawaii	133
The "Mori" call	137
Detection of Japanese submarine on morning of December 7	138
Reder detection of Isnances iniding forms	140
Radar detection of Japanese raiding force Other intelligence received by Army and Navy in Hawaii	142
Other intelligence received by Army and Navy in Hawan	144
Channels of intelligence	142
The "Manila message"	142
The Honolulu press	142
The role of espionage in the attack Liaison between Admiral Kimmel and General Short	. 145
Liaison between Admiral Kimmel and General Short	· 150
Estimate of the situation	+ 153
Part IV. Responsibilities in Washington	159
Part IV. Responsibilities in Washington Basing the Pacific Fleet at Hawaii	159
Defensive facilities available in Hermit	163
Defensive facilities available in Hawaii Transfer of Pacific Fleet units to the Atlantic	167
Transfer of Pacific Fleet units to the Atlantic	
"ABOD" understanding"	168
Avoidance of war Intelligence available in Washington The "Magic" Policy with respect to dissemination of magic	172
Intelligence available in Washington	179
The "Magie"	179
Policy with respect to dissemination of magic	180
"Shing in harbor" reports	181
"Ships in harbor" reports Nature of consular espionage	181
Conclusions with without to "Ching in harbort" who at	189
Conclusions with respect to "Ships in harbor" reports	
The "Winds code"	191
"DRUGEN WORA" COOBLE	192
The "Deadline messages"	193
"Hidden word" code The "Deadline messages" Dispatches indicating fraudulent nature of negotiations after Novem	
ber 28, 1941	195
Status of diplomatic negotiations and the Army dispatch of Novem-	
ber 27 - The state of the state	198
ber 27 Failure to follow-up on the Short reply of November 28 The "Berlin message"	201
The "Realin message"	204
Code destination intelliging of the second	205
The McCollum dispatch	
The McCollum dispatch	206

Part IV. Responsibilities in Washington-Continued	Pa
Events of December 6 and 7, 1941	20
The "Pilot message" The fourteen part memorandum	21
First thirteen parts	21 21
Analysis and significance of first thirteen parts proper	21
Military significance of "Pilot" and "13-part" messages	
apart from messages proper	21
The fourteenth part	22
"One o'clock" and final code destruction messages	22
Events attending transmittal of the December 7 dispatch	22 22
Choice of facililities	22
Significant messages translated after the attack	22
Intelligence concerning Hawaiian defenses	22
Considerations responsible for delays in translations	23
Conclusions with respect to intelligence available in Washington which	
was not supplied Hawaii Estimate of the situation in Washington Nature of responsibilities	23 23
Nature of reponsibilities	23
Duties in Hawaii	23
Duties in Washington	23
Unity of command	24
General observations The "Wyman Matter"	2
The "Wyman Matter"	24
The Philippine Attack Prior inquiries concerning the Pearl Harbor attack	$\frac{2^{4}}{2^{4}}$
Part V. Conclusions and recommendations	2
Conclusions with respect to responsibilities	$\tilde{2}$
Recommendations	2
Recommendations. Supervisory, administrative, and organizational deficiencies in our	
military and naval establishments revealed by the Pearl Harbor	~
investigation. Operational and intelligence work requires centralization of author-	2
ity and clear-cut allocation of responsibility	2
Supervisory officials cannot safely take anything for granted in the	44
alerting of subordinales	2
Any doubt as to whether outposts should be given information should	
always be resolved in favor of supplying the information	2
The delegation of authority or the issuance of orders entails the duty	
of inspection to determine that the official mandate is properly exercised	2
The implementation of official orders must be followed with closest	~
supervision	2
The maintenance of alertness to responsibility must be insured	
through repetition	2
through repetition Complacency and prograstination are out of place where sudden and decisive action are of the essence. The coordination and proper evaluation of intelligence in times of	~
decisive action are of the essence	2
The coordination and proper evaluation of intelligence in times of stress must be insured by continuity of service and centralization	
of responsibility in competent officials	` 2
The unapproachable or superior attitude of officials is fatal: There	
should never be any hesitancy in asking for clarification of in-	• 7
structions or in seeking advice on matters that are in doubt	2
There is no substitute for imagination and resourcefulness on the	م
part of supervisory and intelligence officials	2
Communications must be characterized by clarity, forthrightness, and appropriateness	2
There is great danger in careless paraphrass of information received	<i></i>
and every effort should be made to insure that the paraphrased	
material reflects the true meaning of the original	- 2
Procedures must be sufficiently flexible to meet the exigencies of un-	
usual situations. The west of the terminate of the second state of the second state of the second state of the	2
Restriction of highly confidential information to a minimum num-	
ber of officials, while often necessary, should not be carried to the	2
point of prejudicing the work of the organization There is great danger of being blinded by the self-evident	2
Aniela should be all times the schooling to he handt of simil	-
Officials should at all times give subordinates the benefit of signifi-	

Part V. Conclusions and recommendations—Continued Supervisory, administrative, and organizational deficiences in our military and naval establishments revealed by the Pearl Harbor	Page
investigation—Continued An official who neglects to familiarize himself in detail with his organization should forfeit his responsibility Failure can be avoided in the long run only by preparation for any	263
eventuality	263
Officials, on a personal basis, should never countermand an official instruction	263
Personal or official jealousy will wreck any organization Personal friendship, without more, should never be accepted in lieu of liaison or confused therewith where the latter is necessary to the	264
proper functioning of two or more agencies No considerations should be permitted as excuse for failure to perform	$\frac{264}{265}$
a fundamental task Superiors must at all times keep their subordinates adequately in- formed and, conversely, subordinates should keep their superiors informed	205
The administrative organization of any establishment must be de- signed to locate failures and to assess responsibility	265
bility and authority Committee members signing the report	266 266
Additional views of Mr. Keefe Appendix A. Prior investigations concerning the Pearl Harbor attack	266 269
The Roberts Commission The Hart Inquiry	269 269
The Army Pearl Harbor Board The Navy Court of Inquiry The Clarko Locuity	269 270 270
The Clarke Inquiry The Clausen Investigation The Hewitt Inquiry	270 270 271
Appendix B. Names and positions of principal Army and Navy officials in Washington and at Hawaii at the time of the attack along with the lead-	
ing witnesses in the various proceedings	275 275
Army Air Forces Organization and personnel of Navy Department	275 276
Organization and personnel of Hawaiian Department. Hawaiian Air Force Staff of Commander-in-Chief, United States Fleet and United States	276 277
Pacific Fleet Organization and personnel of Fourteenth Naval District	277 278
List of witnesses appearing before the Joint Committee and their as-	278
signments as of December 7, 1941 List of leading witnesses in prior proceedings who did not testify before the Joint Committee and their assignments as of December 7, 1941 Appendix C. Communications from the President of the United States	279
relating to the Pearl Harbor investigation Appendix D. Review of the diplomatic conversations between the United States and Japan, and related matters, from the Atlantic Conference in	285
August 1941 through December 8, 1941	291 291
Brief résumé of the Japanese-American conversations prior to the Atlantic Conference	293 300
Prevident Roosevelt warns Japan against further aggression and at the same time offers to resume the Japanese-American conversations	000
(August 17, 1941) Japan protests United States shipments of oil to Russia (August 27,	302
1941) Premier Konoye sends a personal message to President Roosevelt urging the proposed "Leaders Conference" (August 28, 1941)	305 306

Appendix D—Continued	P
Germany suspects treachery (August 29–30, 1941) President Roosevelt replics to Premier Konoye's message (September	
3. 1941)	
Japan presents new proposals in a new form (September 6, 1941)	
Ambassador Grew supports the proposed "Leaders Conference"	
(August-September, 1941)	
Japan determines its minimum demands and its maximum concessions in the negotiations with the United States (September 6, 1941)	:
The United States asks Japan to clarify its new proposals (October 2,	•
1941)	1
Germany demands that Japan warn the United States that war be-	
tween Germany and Italy and the United States would lead to war	
between Japan and the United States pursuant to the Tripartite	ę
Pact (October 1941) The Konoye Cabinet falls, and Ambassador Nomura asks permission	Ċ
to return to Japan (October 16, 1941; October 18-November 5,	
1941)	3
The Tojo Cabinet formulates its "Absolutely final proposal" (Novem-	
ber 5, 1941)	÷
Ambassador Grew warns that war with Japan may come with "Dra- matic and dangerous suddenness" (November 3, 1941)	2
Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek appeals to Great Britain and the	Ĺ
United States for aid (October 28-November 4, 1941)	5
Japan delivers its next-to-last proposal to the United States (November	
10, 1941)	
The Tojo Cabin et refuses to consider any suggestion less favorable to	
Japan than its" Absolutely final proposal" (November 18–19, 1941). Japan delivers its "Absolutely final proposal" to the United States and	
demands an agreement on that basis (November 20, 1941)	:
The United States replies (November 26, 1941)	
The Tojo Cabinet makes a pretense of continuing the Japanese-	
American conversations and at the same time moves additional,	
Japanese troops into southern Indochina (November 27-December	
7, 1941) The invasion of Thailand by Japanese forces from French Indochina	i
appears imminent (December 1-7, 1941)	
Germany tells Japan the time is ripe to strike at the United States,	
and promises to join with Japan in war against the United States	
(November 29, 1941)	4
President Roosevelt returns to Washington as the far eastern situation moves rapidly toward a climax (December 1, 1941)	
President Roosevelt asks the Japanese Government to explain its	
purpose in moving additional troops into southern Indochina	
(December 2, 1941)	
The Japanese Government claims its troop movements in French	
Indochina are for the purpose of defense against an attack by the	
Indochina are for the purpose of defense against an attack by the Chinese (December 5, 1941) The last hours (December 6-8, 1941)	4
Appendix E. The "Winds Code"	· .
Appendix E. The "Winds Code" Establishment and nature of the "Winds Code"	4
Efforts to monitor	4
Considerations bearing on the possibility of a message in execution of	
the "Winds Code" having been received prior to December 7, 1941.	4
Considerations militating against likelihood of "Winds Code" execute message having been received prior to December 7, 1941	4
Appendix F. Geographical considerations and Navy and Army installa-	•
tions	4
tions Geographical considerations	4
Navy and Army installations	4
Army Illustrations	4
*** VIV V \$ \$7 V \$ 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

FOREWORD

On Sunday morning, December 7, 1941, the United States and Japan were at peace. Japanese ambassadors were in Washington in conversation with our diplomatic officials looking to a general settlement of differences in the Pacific.

At 7:55 a. m. (Hawaiian time) over 300 Japanese planes launched from 6 aircraft carriers attacked the island of Oahu and the American Pacific Fleet at Pearl Harbor in the Territory of Hawaii. Within a period of less than 2 hours our military and naval forces suffered a total of 3,435 casualties in personnel and the loss of or severe damage to: 188 planes of all types, 8 battleships, 3 light cruisers, and 4 miscellaneous vessels.

The attack was well planned and skillfully executed. The Japanese raiders withdrew from the attack and were recovered by the carriers without the latter being detected, having suffered losses of less than 100 in personnel, 29 planes, and 5 midget submarines which had been dispatched from mother craft that coordinated their attack with that of the planes.

One hour after Japanese air and naval forces had struck the Territory of Hawaii the emissaries of Japan delivered to the Secretary of State a reply to a recent American note, a reply containing no suggestion of attack by Japan upon the United States. With the benefit of information now available it is known that the Japanese military had planned for many weeks the unprovoked and ambitious act of December 7.

The Pyrrhic victory of having executed the attack with surprise, cunning, and deceit belongs to the war lords of Japan whose dreams of conquest were buried in the ashes of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. History will properly place responsibility for Pearl Harbor upon the military clique dominating the people of Japan at the time. Indeed, this responsibility Premier Tojo himself has already assumed.

We come today, over 4 years after the event, not to detract from this responsibility but to record for posterity the facts of the disaster. In another sense we seek to find lessons to avoid pitfalls in the future, to evolve constructive suggestions for the protection of our national security, and to determine whether there were failures in our own military and naval establishments which in any measure may have contributed to the extent and intensity of the disaster.

XI

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

On November 15, 1945, the Joint Congressional Committee on the Investigation of the Pearl Harbor Attack held its first public hearings pursuant to Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 27, Seventy-ninth Congress, first session, as follows:¹

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

SEPTEMBER 6, 1945

Mr. BABELEY submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was considered, modified, and agreed to

SEPTEMBER 11, 1945

House concurs

CONCURBENT RESOLUTION

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That there is hereby established a joint committee on the investigation of the Pearl Harbor attack, to be composed of five Members of the Senate (not more than three of whom shall be members of the majority party), to be appointed by the President pro tempore, and five Members of the House of Representatives (not more than three of whom shall be members of the majority party), to be appointed by the Speaker of the House. Vacancies in the membership of the committee shall not affect the power of the remaining members to execute the functions of the committee, and shall be filled in the same manner as in the case of the original selection. The committee shall select a chairman and a vice chairman from among its members.

SEC. 2. The committee shall make a full and complete investigation of the facts relating to the events and circumstances leading up to or following the attack made by Japanese armed forces upon Pearl Harbor in the Territory of Hawaii on December 7, 1941, and shall report to the Senate and the House of Repre-sentatives not later than January 3, 1946, the results of its investigation, together with such recommendations as it may deem advisable. SEC. 3. The testimony of any person in the armed services, and the fact that such person testified before the joint committee herein provided for, shall not be used accient him in any court proceeding on held excited in in the armed services.

used against him in any court proceeding, or held against him in examining his military status for credits in the service to which he belongs.

SEC. 4. (a) The committee, or any duly authorized subcommittee thereof, is authorized to sit and act at such places and times during the sessions, recesses, and adjourned periods of the Seventy-ninth Congress (prior to January 3, 1946), to require by subpena or otherwise the attendance of such witnesses and the production of such books, papers, and documents, to administer such oaths, to take such testimony, to procure such printing and binding, and to make such expendi-tures as it deems advisable. The cost of stenographic services to report such hearings shall not be in excess of 25 cents per hundred words.

(b) The committee is empowered to appoint and fix the compensation of such experts, consultants, and clerical and stenographic assistants as it deems necessary, but the compensation so fixed shall not exceed the compensation prescribed under the Classification Act of 1923, as amended, for comparable duties. (c) The expenses of the committee, which shall not exceed \$25,000, shall be paid one-half from the contingent fund of the Senate and one-half from the con-

¹ The authority of the committee is to be found in S. Con. Res. No. 27, 79th Cong., ist sess., passed by the Senate on September 6, 1945, and concurred in by the House of Representatives on September 11, 1945, and as extended by both Houses under S. Con. Res. No. 49, 79th Cong., ist sess., and by S. Con. Res. No. 4 70th Cong., ist sess., and by S. Con. Res. No. 49, 79th Cong., ist sess., and by S. Con. Res. No. 54, 79th Cong., 2d sees.

tingent fund of the House of Representatives, upon vouchers signed by the chairman.

Passed the Senate September 6, 1945. Attest:

LESLIE L. BIFFLE. Secretary.

Passed the House of Representatives September 11, 1945. Attest:

South TRIMBLE, Clerk.

On 70 days subsequent to November 15 and prior to and including May 31, 1945, open hearings were conducted in the course of which some 15,000 pages of testimony were taken and a total of 183 exhibits received incident to an examination of 43 witnesses.

Of assistance to the committee and its work were the testimony and exhibits of seven prior investigations concerning the Pearl Harbor attack, including inquiries conducted by the Roberts Commission,² Admiral Thomas C. Hart,³ the Army Pearl Harbor Board,⁴ the Navy Court of Inquiry,⁵ Col. Carter W. Clarke,⁶ Maj. Henry C. Clausen.⁷ and Admiral H. Kent Hewitt.⁸ For purposes of convenient reference there has been set forth in appendix A to this report a statement concerning the scope and character of each of these prior proceedings, the records of which total 9,754 printed pages of testimony from 318 witnesses and the attendant 469 exhibits. The records of these proceedings have been incorporated as exhibits to the record of the committee which encompasses approximately 10,000,000 words.

All witnesses appeared under oath and were afforded the fullest opportunity to offer any and all information which was regarded as having any relationship whatever to the disaster. In the course of examination by committee coursel and the committee members themselves, an effort was made to elicit all facts having an immediate or remote bearing on the tragedy of December 7, 1941. It is believed the committee has succeeded through its record in preserv-

ing for posterity the material facts concerning the disaster. The figures and witnesses in the drama of Pearl Harbor ran the gamut of officials of the executive branch of the Government. The principal personalities in the picture were the President of the United States, Franklin D. Roosevelt; the Secretary of State, Cordell Hull; the Secretary of War, Henry L. Stimson; the Secretary of Navy, Frank Knox; the Chief of Staff, George C. Marshall; the Chief of Naval Operations, Harold R. Stark; the commander in chief of the Pacific Fleet, Husband E. Kimmel; and the commanding general of the Hawaiian Department, Walter C. Short. In appendix B to this report there are set forth the names and positions of the ranking Army and Navy officials in Washington and at Hawaii at the time of the attack along with the principal witnesses in the various proceedings.

The committee's investigation has extended to the files of all pertinent branches of the Government. Instructions in this regard from the President of the United States, Harry S. Truman, to various departments will be found in appendix C to this report. The committee through its counsel requested Miss Grace Tully, custodian of the files of the late President Roosevelt, to furnish the committee all

<sup>For proceedings of the Roberts Commission, see committee exhibit No. 145.
For proceedings of the Army Pearl Harbor Board, see committee exhibit No. 145.
For proceedings of the Army Pearl Harbor Board, see committee exhibit No. 145.
For proceedings of the Navy Court of Inquiry, see committee exhibit No. 146.
For proceedings of the Clarke investigation. see committee exhibit No. 147.
For report of investigation conducted by Major Clausen, see committee exhibit No. 148.
For proceedings of the Hewitt inquiry, see committee exhibit No. 147.</sup>

papers in these files for the year 1941 relating to Japan, the imminence of war in the Pacific, and the general Far Eastern developments. She furnished such papers in response to this request as she considered might be involved and stood ready to testify before the committee at any time.

All parties in interest have attested to the fact that they have been afforded a full, fair, and impartial public hearing before the committee. All witnesses who retained counsel—Admiral Stark, Admiral Kimmel, and General_Short—were given the opportunity to be examined by their counsel if they so desired, and to submit questions to committee counsel to be asked other witnesses.

The following action was not taken by the committee for the reasons indicated:

(1) Former Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson was not called before the committee as a witness for the reason that his health would not permit. Mr. Stimson did, however, submit a statement under oath for the committee's consideration and the answers supplied by him to interrogatories propounded were considered by the committee. He supplied the portions of his personal diary requested by committee counsel and informed the committee that the portions of his diary now in evidence are the only portions thereof having any relationship to the Pearl Harbor investigation.

(2) Former Ambassador to Japan Joseph Grew appeared before the committee as a witness and testified to material appearing in his personal diary having a relationship to the events and circumstances of the Pearl Harbor attack. On the basis of his personal representation that no additional material pertinent to the subject of the committee's inquiry appeared in his diary beyond that to which he had testified, the committee did not formally request or otherwise seck to require the production of Mr, Grew's complete diary.

(3) A request by one member of the committee for the appearance of the former Prime Minister of England, Mr. Winston Churchill, was disapproved by a majority of the committee. At the time Mr: Churchill was a guest in the United States and it was not felt that he should with propriety be requested to appear as a witness.

(4) A request by one member of the committee for production by the State Department of all papers relating to the so-called Tyler Kent case was disapproved by a majority of the committee. The State Department had advised that these papers were in no way pertinent to the subject of the committee's inquiry, and, additionally, members of the committee had discussed the question with Mr. Kent who advised that he possessed no facts that would in any way have relationship to the Pearl Harbor attack.

Former Secretary of State Cordell Hull appeared before the committee but was forced to retire by reason of failing health before completion of the examination by all members of the committee. Mr. Hull subsequently responded to interrogatories propounded by the committee.

The committee has conceived its duty to be not only that of indicating the nature and scope of responsibility for the disaster but also of recording the pertinent considerations relating to the greatest defeat in our military and naval history. Only through a reasonable amount of detail is it possible to place events and responsibilities in their proper perspective and give to the Nation a genuine appreciation of the salient facts concerning Pearl Harbor. For this reason our report is of somewhat greater length than was initially believed necessary. It is to be recalled in this connection, however, that the over-all record of the committee comprehends some ten million words. It was felt therefore that the story of the antecedent, contemporaneous, and succeeding events attending the disaster could not be properly encompassed within a report any more concise than that herewith submitted.

We believe there is much to be learned of a constructive character as a result of the Japanese attack from the standpoint of legislation and, additionally, for guidance in avoiding the possibility of another military disaster such as Pearl Harbor. Accordingly, in the section devoted to recommendations there are set forth, in addition to the recommendations proper, a series of principles, based on errors revealed by the investigation, which are being commended to our military and naval services for their consideration and possible assistance.

Our report does not purport to set forth or refer to all of the enormous volume of testimony and evidence adduced in the course of the Pearl Harbor investigation. It is believed, however, that the material facts relevant to the disaster have been outlined in the report. The committee's record and the records of all prior investigations have been printed and are available for review and study. It is to be borne in mind that the findings and conclusions are based on the facts presently in our record after an exhaustive investigation.

We desire to acknowledge particular gratitude to those who have acted as counsel to the committee for their excellent work during the course of the investigation and for their magnificent assistance in compiling the facts for the committee in order that we might draw our conclusions, which are necessarily those of the committee only.

In the following pages an effort has been made to present a review of the diplomatic and historical setting of the Pearl Harbor attack followed by a picture of the Japanese attack itself. Set forth thereafter are separate treatments of responsibilities in Hawaii on the one hand and responsibilities in Washington on the other. Situations existing in our Army and Navy establishments having a proximate or causative relationship to the disaster have been distinguished from those which, while not to be condoned, are regarded as having no direct or reasonable bearing on the conditions prevailing at Hawaii, preceding and in the wake of the Japanese attack on Sunday morning, December 7, 1941. To assist in following and better appreciating the story of the attack there has been outlined in appendix F the geographical considerations and military installations playing a role in and relating to the disaster.

Throughout the report italics have been freely employed to facilitate reading and to bring out more clearly matters regarded as of particular importance.