100TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

## H. RES. 499

## IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

August 9, 1988 Received

## **RESOLUTION**

Impeaching Alcee L. Hastings, Judge of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, of High Crimes and Misdemeanors.

- 1 Resolved, That Alcee L. Hastings, a judge of the United
- 2 States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, be
- 3 impeached for high crimes and misdemeanors and that the
- 4 following articles of impeachment be exhibited to the Senate:
- 5 Articles of impeachment exhibited by the House of Rep-
- 6 resentatives of the United States of America in the name of
- 7 itself and all of the people of the United States of America,
- 8 against Alcee L. Hastings, a judge of the United States Dis-
- 9 trict Court for the Southern District of Florida, in mainte-
- 10 nance and support of its impeachment against him for high
- 11 crimes and misdemeanors.

* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
. 1	ARTICLE I
2	From some time in the first half of 1981 and continuing
3	through October 9, 1981, Judge Hastings and William Bor-
same of the 4	ders, then a Washington, D.C. attorney, engaged in a cor-
5	rupt conspiracy to obtain \$150,000 from defendants in
4 3 4 5 6	United States v. Romano, a case tried before Judge Hast-
a (18) (18) (18) (18)	ings, in return for the imposition of sentences which would
8	not require incarceration of the defendants.
9	Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
10	peachable offense warranting removal from office.
11	ARTICLE II
12	From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge
13	Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
14	States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
15	the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while
16	under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
17	the truth, did knowingly and contrary to that oath make a
na (15. defeaded 18	false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
19	fact.
	The false statement was, in substance, that Judge Hast-
1	ings and William Borders, of Washington, D.C., never made
-22	-any-agreement-to-solicit-a-bribe-from-defendants-in-United
23	onfinity manufactory (c).  States v. Romano, a case tried before Judge Hastings.
	Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
25	peachable offense warranting removal from office.

1	ARTICLE III	
2	From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge	
3	Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United	÷
4	States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In	
-5	the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings while	
6	under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but	•
7	the truth, did knowingly and contrary to that oath make a	
8	false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of	
9	fact.	
10	The false statement was, in substance, that Judge Hast-	
11	ings never agreed with William Borders, of Washington,	
12	D.C., to modify the sentences of defendants in United States	
13	v. Romano, a case tried before Judge Hastings, from a term	
14	in the Federal penitentiary to probation in return for a bribe	
15	from those defendants.	
16	Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-	
17	peachable offense warranting removal from office.	
18	ARTICLE IV	
L9	From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge	
20	Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United	
21	States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In	
22	the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while	
23	under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but	
24	the truth, did knowingly and contrary to that oath make a	

- 1 false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
- 2 fact.
- 3 The false statement was, in substance, that Judge Hast-
- 4 ings never agreed with William Borders, of Washington,
- 5 D.C., in connection with a payment on a bribe, to enter an
  - 6 order returning a substantial amount of property to the de-
  - 7 fendants in United States v. Romano, a case tried before
  - 8 Judge Hastings. Judge Hastings had previously ordered that
  - 9 property forfeited.
  - Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
  - 11 peachable offense warranting removal from office.
  - 12 ARTICLE V
  - From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge
  - 14 Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
  - 15 States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
  - 16 the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while
  - 17 under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
- 18 the truth, did knowingly and contrary to that oath make a
- 19 false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
  - 20 fact.
  - The false statement was, in substance, that Judge Hast-
- 22 ings' appearance at the Fontainebleau Hotel in Miami Beach,
  - 23 Florida, on September 16, 1981, was not part of a plan to
- 24 demonstrate his participation in a bribery scheme with Wil-
  - 25 liam Borders of Washington, D.C., concerning United States

1	v. Romano, a case tried before Judge Hastings, and that
2	Judge Hastings expected to meet Mr. Borders at that place
3	and on that occasion.
4	Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
5	peachable offense warranting removal from office.
6	ARTICLE VI
7	From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge
8	Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
9	States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
10	the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while
11	under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
12	the truth, did knowingly and contrary to his oath make a
13	false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
14	fact.
15	The false statement was, in substance, that Judge Hast-
16	ings did not expect William Borders, of Washington, D.C., to
17	appear at Judge Hastings' room in the Sheraton Hotel in
18	Washington, D.C., on September 12, 1981.
19	Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
20	peachable offense warranting removal from office.
21	ARTICLE VII
22_	From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge
23	Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
24	States Dictrict Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
25	the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while

- 1 under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
- 2 the truth, did knowingly and contrary to his oath, make a
- 3 false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
- 4 fact.
- 5 The false statement concerned Judge Hastings' motive
- 6 for instructing a law clerk, Jeffrey Miller, to prepare an order
- 7 on October 5, 1981, in United States v. Romano, a case tried
- 8 before Judge Hastings, returning a substantial portion of
- 9 property previously ordered forfeited by Judge Hastings.
- 10 Judge Hastings stated in substance that he so instructed Mr.
- 11 Miller primarily because Judge Hastings was concerned that
- 12 the order would not be completed before Mr. Miller's sched-
- 13 uled departure, when in fact the instruction on October 5,
- 14 1981, to prepare such order was in furtherance of a bribery
- 15 scheme concerning that case.
- Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
  - 17 peachable offense warranting removal from office.

## 

- From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge
- 20 Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
- 21 States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
  - 22 the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while
- 23 under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
- 24 the truth, did knowingly and contrary to his oath make a

- 1 false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
- 2 fact.
- 3 The false statement was, in substance, that Judge Hast-
- 4 ings' October 5, 1981, telephone conversation with William
- 5 Borders, of Washington, D.C., was in fact about writing let-
- 6 ters to solicit assistance for Hemphill Pride of Columbia,
- 7 South Carolina, when in fact it was a coded conversation in
- 8 furtherance of a conspiracy with Mr. Borders to solicit a bribe
- 9 from defendants in United States v. Romano, a case tried
- 10 before Judge Hastings.
- Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
- 12 peachable offense warranting removal from office.
- 13 ARTICLE IX
- 14 From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge
- 15 Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
- 16 States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
- 17 the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while
- 18 under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
- 19 the truth, did knowingly and contrary to his oath make a
- 20 false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
- 21 fact.
- 22 The false statement was, in substance, that three docu-
- 23 ments that purported to be drafts of letters to assist Hemphill
- 24 Pride, of Columbia, South Carolina, had been written by
- 25 Judge Hastings on October 5, 1981, and were the letters

- 1 referred to by Judge Hastings in his October 5, 1981, tele-
- 2 phone conversation with William Borders, of Washington,
- 3 D.C.
- Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
- 5 peachable offense warranting removal from office.
- 6 ARTICLE X
- From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge
- 8 Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
- 9 States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
- 10 the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while
- 11 under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
- 12 the truth, did knowingly and contrary to that oath make a
- 13 false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
- 14 fact.
- The false statement was, in substance, that on May 5,
- 16 1981, Judge Hastings talked to Hemphill Pride by placing
- 17 a telephone call to 803-758-8825 in Columbia, South
- 18 Carolina.
- Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
- 20 peachable offense warranting removal from office.
- 21 ARTICLE XI
- From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge

observate the area for the plant imperial articles on

- 23 Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
- 24 States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
- 25 the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while

- 1 under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
- 2 the truth, did knowingly and contrary to that oath make a
- 3 false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
- 4 fact.
- 5 The false statement was, in substance, that on August
- 6 2, 1981, Judge Hastings talked to Hemphill Pride by plac-
- 7 ing a telephone call to 803-782-9387 in Columbia, South
- 8 Carolina.
- 9 Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
- 10 peachable offense warranting removal from office.
- 11 ARTICLE XII
- 12 From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge
- 13 Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
- 14 States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
- 15 the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while
- 16 under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
- 17 the truth, did knowingly and contrary to that oath make a
- 18 false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
- 19 fact.
- The false statement was, in substance, that on
- 21 September 2, 1981, Judge Hastings talked to Hemphill
- 22 Pride by placing a telephone call to 803-758-8825 in Co-
- 23 lumbia, South Carolina.
- Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
- 25 peachable offense warranting removal from office.

1	ARTICLE XIII
2	From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge
3	Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
4	States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
5	the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while
6	under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
landi di lang di 🕇	the truth, did knowingly and contrary to that oath make a
8	false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
9	fact.
10	The false statement was, in substance, that 803-777-
11	7716 was a telephone number at a place where Hemphill
12	Pride could be contacted in July 1981.
13	Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
.14	peachable offense warranting removal from office.
15	ARTICLE XIV
16	From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge
17	Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
. Marina - 18	States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
19	the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while
	under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
and poin 21	the truth, did knowingly and contrary to that oath make a
22	false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
23	fact.  The false statement was, in substance, that on the after-
, we the stable $24$	The false statement was, in substance, that on the after-
25	noon of October 9, 1981. Judge Hastings called his mother

- 1 and Patricia Williams from his hotel room at the L'Enfant
- 2 Plaza Hotel in Washington, D.C.
- 3 Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
- 4 peachable offense warranting removal from office.
- 5 ARTICLE XV
- 6 From January 18, 1983, until February 4, 1983, Judge
- 7 Hastings was a defendant in a criminal case in the United
- 8 States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. In
- 9 the course of the trial of that case, Judge Hastings, while
- 10 under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
- 11 the truth, did knowingly and contrary to that oath make a
- 12 false statement which was intended to mislead the trier of
- 13 fact concerning his motives for taking a plane on October 9,
- 14 1981, from Baltimore-Washington International Airport
- 15 rather than from Washington National Airport.
- Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
- 17 peachable offense warranting removal from office.
- 18 ARTICLE XVI
- 19 From July 15, 1985, to September 15, 1985, Judge
- 20 Hastings was the supervising judge of a wiretap instituted
- 21 under chapter 119 of title 18, United States Code (added by
- 22 title III of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act
- 23 of 1968). The wiretap was part of certain investigations then
- 24 being conducted by law enforcement agents of the United
- 25 States.

	1	As supervising judge, Judge Hastings learned highly
	2	confidential information obtained through the wiretap. The
en jer en j	3	documents disclosing this information, presented to Judge
ing the state of t	4	Hastings as the supervising judge, were Judge Hastings' sole
	5	source of the highly confidential information.
	6	On September 6, 1985, Judge Hastings revealed highly
e a produk	7.	confidential information that he learned as the supervising
e de la compania del compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania del c	8	judge of the wiretap, as follows: On the morning of Septem-
	9	ber 6, 1985, Judge Hastings told Stephen Clark, the Mayor
	10	of Dade County, Florida, to stay away from Kevin "Waxy"
	11	Gordon, who was "hot" and was using the Mayor's name in
	12	Hialeah, Florida.
	13	As a result of this improper disclosure, certain investi-
alist delite dele	14	gations then being conducted by law enforcement agents of
y see the harter	15	the United States were thwarted and ultimately terminated.
	16	Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
	17	peachable offense warranting removal from office.
		Constant of Article XVIII
	19	Judge Hastings, who as a Federal judge is required to
	20	enforce and obey the Constitution and laws of the United
, the resplicie	21	States, to uphold the integrity of the judiciary, to avoid im-
naste prohes	22	propriety and the appearance of impropriety, and to perform
	23	the duties of his office impartially, did, through—
ka Kalibera	24	(1) a corrupt relationship with William Borders of
•	กร	Weshington D.C.

1	(2) repeated false testimony under oath at Judge
2	Hastings' criminal trial;
3	(3) fabrication of false documents which were sub-
4 5	mitted as evidence at his criminal trial; and  (4) improper disclosure of confidential information
6	acquired by him as supervisory judge of a wiretap;
7	undermine confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the
8	judiciary and betray the trust of the people of the United
9	States, thereby bringing disrepute on the Federal courts and
10	the administration of justice by the Federal courts.
11	Wherefore, Judge Alcee L. Hastings is guilty of an im-
12	peachable offense warranting removal from office.

JIM WRIGHT,

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Attest:

DONNALD K. ANDERSON,

Clerk.