with Kempner or the cotton sales, Germann & Co., had been lost through improper administration by the Alien Property Custodian. The determined amount of the loss of the Germann & Co. vested property would be then withdrawn from the war claims fund and used to compensate the Kempner Trust Association to the extent of its loss.

Following World War I the Kempner Trust Association through subsidiary corporations entered into contracts for the sale of cotton with a number of German textile manufacturers for future delivery. A fall in cotton prices before delivery led the German firms to breach their contracts with the association. The amounts payable by the German debtors on account of the breaches of contract, as determined by judgments and negotiated settlements, could not be paid through the subsequent period before World War II because of German foreign exchange controls, and, as a result, the trust association lost money on the transactions. These losses would be paid by the United States if this bill were enacted although it is clear that the United States bears no moral or legal liability for the transactions which resulted in the losses in question.

Moreover, the method of payment proposed by the bill raises serious questions of propriety. The matter involving Germann & Co. has no relationship to the claim which the Kempner Trust Association seeks to have paid. During World War I the Alien Property Custodian had seized the property of Germann & Co., a firm in the Philippines, as enemy property. When the property was returned to Germann & Co., following enactment of legislation authorizing return of seized property after World War I, it was claimed that the firm's assets had been depleted by approximately \$1 million during the period of its administration by the Alien Property Custodian through improper allegedly payments. The Treaty of Berlin which terminated World War I between the United States and Germany, however, precludes Germann & Co. from asserting any claim against the United States on account of the seizure of its property or any losses during the period it was held by the United There is, therefore, no valid States. claim to be asserted by Germann & Co. as the basis for the proposed determination by the Court of Claims. Even if such a claim existed, however, the proposed payment of its proceeds to the Kempner Trust Association instead of to Germann & Co. would not appear to be a proper disposition of the rights of the latter company.

Furthermore, the bill confers upon the United States Court of Claims jurisdiction to sit in judgment upon the acts of the former German Government with respect to acts committed in Germany. I am informed that this would be contrary to a well-recognized principle of international law and practice.

For these reasons, the purpose and method of payment would not appear justified. Moreover, enactment of this bill would establish an undesirable precedent for the assumption by the United States for the commercial losses of American citizens, even where no governmental sponsorship of the commercial venture appeared. It would also set an undesirable precedent for the use of the German and Japanese assets vested during World War II for commercial losses suffered during peacetime in lieu of their present use through the war claims fund as the source of payment of the wartime personal injury damages suffered by American nationals.

Accordingly, I am constrained to withhold my approval from the bill.

Dwight D. Eisenhower.

THE WHITE HOUSE, September 3, 1954.

SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO, H. R. 3300

H. R. 3300. I have withheld my approval of H. R. 3300, to authorize the State of Illinois and the Sanitary District of Chicago, under the direction of the Secretary of the Army, to help control the lake level of Lake Michigan by diverting water from Lake Michigan into the Illinois Waterway.

The bill would authorize the State of Illinois and the Sanitary District of Chicago, under the supervision and direction of the Secretary of the Army, to withdraw from Lake Michigan, in addition to all domestic pumpage, a total annual average of 2,500 cubic feet of water per second into the Illinois Waterway for a period of 3 years. This diversion would be 1,000 cubic feet per second more than is presently permitted under a decree of the Supreme Court of the United States dated April 21, 1930. The bill also would direct the Secretary of the Army to study the effect in the improvement in conditions in the Illinois Waterway by reason of the increased diversion, and to report to the Congress as to the results of the study on or before January 31, 1957, with his recommendations as to continuance of the increased diversion authorized.

The bill specifies that the diversion would be authorized in order to regulate and promote commerce, to protect, improve, and promote navigation in the Illinois Waterway and Mississippi Valley, to help control the lake level, to afford protection to property and shores along the Great Lakes, and to provide for a navigable Illinois Waterway. No mention is made of possible improvement of sanitary conditions or increase in hydroelectric power generation on the waterway.

I am unable to approve the bill because (1) existing diversions are adequate for navigation on the Illinois Waterway and Mississippi River, (2) all methods of control of lake levels and protection of property on the Great Lakes should be considered before arbitrarily proceeding with the proposed increased diversion, (3) the diversions are authorized without reference to negotiations with Canada, and (4) the legitimate interests of other States affected by the diversion may be adversely affected. I wish to comment briefly on each of these points.

I understand that waterborne traffic on the Illinois Waterway has grown in the last 20 years from 200,000 tons to 16 million tons annually. The Corps of Engineers advises, however, that the existing diversions of water are adequate for navigation purposes in the Illinois Waterway and the Mississippi River. Surveys are now underway by the International Joint Commission and the Corps of Engineers to determine the best methods of obtaining improved control of the levels of the Great Lakes and of preventing recurrence of damage along their shores. Reasonable opportunity to complete these surveys should be afforded before legislative action is undertaken.

The diversion of waters into and out of the Great Lakes has historically been the subject of negotiations with Canada. To proceed unilaterally in the manner proposed in H. R. 3300 is not wise policy. It would be the kind of action to which we would object if taken by one of our neighbors. The Canadian Government protested the proposed authorization when it was under consideration by the Congress, and has continued its objection to this bill in a note to the Department of State dated August 24, 1954. It seems to me that the additional diversion is not of such national importance as to justify action without regard to the views of Canada.

Finally, as is clear from the report of the Senate committee, a major purpose of the proposal to divert additional water from Lake Michigan into the Illinois waterway is to determine whether the increased flow will improve existing adverse sanitation conditions. The waters of Lake Michigan are interstate in character. It would seem to me that a diversion for the purposes of one State alone should be authorized only after general agreement has been reached among all the affected States. Officials of several States adjoining the Great Lakes, other than Illinois, have protested approval of the bill as being contrary to their interests and not in accord with the diversion authorized under the 1930 decree of the Supreme Court. Under all of these circumstances, I have felt that the bill should not be approved.

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER.

THE WHITE HOUSE, September 3, 1954.

FEDERAL FOOD, DRUG, AND COSMETIC ACT, H. R. 9728

H. R. 9728. I have withheld my approval from H. R. 9728, to revise, codify, and enact into law, title 21 of the United States Code, entitled "Food, Drugs, and Cosmetics."

The legislative history of this measure indicates that it was enacted in the view that existing law would not be substantially changed by the bill or that no changes in existing law would be made which would not meet with substantially unanimous approval.

Notwithstanding this, the bill makes one very important substantive change and casts serious doubts on the status and interpretation of other statutory provisions. The most important change is the deletion from the multiple seizure powers of the present law the authority which the Food and Drug Administration has had for a number of years to make more than one seizure of food, drugs, and cosmetics, where they bear identical labeling which is believed fraudulent or so materially misleading as to injure or damage the purchaser or consumer. In the cases subject to removal of authority made by the bill, the Food and Drug Administration would be able to seize only one shipment of the articles believed to be so misbranded. Such a limitation would make it possible for fraud and material deception to continue unabated until the validity of the labeling involved in the seizure case is definitely settled by the courts.

The enactment also contains a new substantive provision affecting the administration of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the meaning of which is very uncertain, namely, that the Administrative Procedure Act "shall continue to apply to all activities of the Food and Drug Administration." The Administrative Procedure Act already applies to both rulemaking and adjudication under this regulatory statute, as it does to other acts of Congress not expressly excepted. The Federal courts, I am informed, have discussed on several occasions the relationship of these two enactments. The new language, unless it should be regarded as mere surplusage. might be held to effect basic changes in existing procedures, thereby placing the Food and Drug Administration under requirements not applicable to any other Federal agency. Such a change in the scope of the Administrative Procedure Act should not be adopted without full consideration.

The interest of the consumer public is the principal objective of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. I believe that substantive changes which may seriously affect the administration of this law should not be placed in the statute books without extending to the responsible enforcement agency, the great industries affected, and the consumer public, the full opportunities for hearing and discussion afforded by the usual operation of the legislative process both in the committees and in both Houses of the Congress.

Finally, the enactment, through oversight, may nullify the provisions of legislation relating to the importation of animals and poultry into the Virgin Islands, approved on July 22 of this year (Public Law 517). The enrolled measure apparently does not take into consideration the amendments to the Organic Act of the Virgin Islands which were made by that act. Here again the adverse effects would be serious.

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER. THE WHITE HOUSE, September 3, 1954.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

1847. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of the Interior, transmitting a draft of a proposed bill entitled "A bill to amend section 62 of the National Defense Act of June 3, 1916 (39 Stat. 198), as amended (32 U. S. C., 1946 ed., sec. 4c), to include American Samoa, Guam, and the Virgin Islands"; to the Committee on Armed Services.

1848. A letter from the Comptroller of the Currency, transmitting the annual report of the Comptroller of the Currency for the year 1953, pursuant to section 333 of the United States Revised Statutes; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

Banking and Currency. 1849. A letter from the Director, Agricultural Credit Services, Department of Agriculture, transmitting reports showing the names of all persons against whom claims in excess of \$1,000 have been compromised during the fiscal year 1954, pursuant to section 41 (g) (4) of the Farmers' Home Administration Act of 1946, Public Law 731, 79th Congress; to the Committee on Agriculture.

1850. A letter from the Secretary of the Army, transmitting a letter from the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, dated January 23, 1953, submitting a report, together with accompanying papers and an illustration, on a review of reports on Denison Reservoir, Red River, Tex., and Okla., with a view to determining whether any modification of the recommendations contained therein is advisable at this time, particularly with reference to crossing over Lake Texoma at the Willis site. This investigation was requested by resolutions of the Committee on Public Works, House of Representatives, and the Committee on Public Works, United States Senate, adopted on April 21, 1950, and August 18, 1949, respectively (H. Doc. No. 496); to the Committee on Public Works and ordered to be printed.

1851. A letter from the Secretary of the Army, transmitting a letter from the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, dated April 15, 1954, submitting an interim report, together with accompanying papers and an illustration on a survey of Truckee River and tributaries, California and Nevada, covering only the immediate flood problems on Truckee River. This report is submitted under the authority for a preliminary examination and survey of Truckee River and tributaries, in California and Nevada, authorized by the Flood Control Act approved on June 28, 1938 (H. Doc. No. 497); to the Committee on Public Works and ordered to be printed.

1852. A letter from the Secretary of the Army, transmitting a letter from the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, dated May 28, 1954, submitting an interim report, together with accompanying papers and an illustration, on a survey of Richland, Chambers, and Cedar Creeks, Tex. This interim report is submitted in response to resolutions of the Committee on Rivers and Harbors, House of Representatives, adopted on March 31, 1944, and February 28, 1945, requesting a review of reports on Trinity River and tributaries, Texas (H. Doc. No. 498); to the Committee on Public Works and ordered to be printed.

1853. A letter from the Secretary of the Army, transmitting a letter from the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, dated February 19, 1954, submitting a report, together with accompanying papers and an illustration on a review of reports on, and preliminary examinations and surveys of White River and tributaries, Missouri and Arkansas, made pursuant to several congressional authorizations listed in the report. It is also submitted in response to preliminary examinations and surveys of White River, Ark., and Black River, Mo. and Ark., authorized by the River and Harbor Act approved August 30, 1935, and the Flood Control Act approved June 28, 1938, respectively (H. Doc. No. 499); to the Committee on Public Works and ordered to be printed.

1854. A letter from the Secretary of the Army, transmitting a letter from the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, dated June 7, 1954, submitting a report, together with accompanying papers and an illustration, on a review of reports on Saginaw River, Mich., requested by a resolution of the Committee on Public Works, House of Representatives, adopted on August 17, 1949 (H. Doc. No. 500); to the Committee on Public Works and ordered to be printed.

1855. A letter from the Secretary of the Army, transmitting a letter from the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, dated April 8, 1954, submitting an interim report, together with accompanying papers and illustrations, on a preliminary examination and survey of harbors in Alaska, with a view to determining the advisability of improvements in the interest of navigation, flood control, hydroelectric power, and re-

lated water uses, authorized by the Flood Control Act, approved on June 30, 1948. It is also submitted in final response to a number of other congressional authorizations listed in the report (H. Doc. No. 501); to the Committee on Public Works and ordered to be printed.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUB-LIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mrs. ROGERS of Massachusetts: Committee on Veterans' Affairs. H. R. 9171. A bill to provide additional time to disabled veterans for filing application for assistance in purchasing an automobile or other conveyance and to make such assistance available to certain disabled persons who have not been separated from the active service; without amendment (Rept. No. 2676). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

Mr. WOLVERTON: Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce. A report pursuant to House Resolution 127, relating to the work of the committee; without amendment (Rept. No. 2678). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

Mr. REED of New York: Committee of Conference. H. R. 9366. A bill to amend the Social Security Act and the Internal Revenue Code so as to extend coverage under the oldage and survivors insurance program, increase the benefits payable thereunder, preserve the insurance rights of disabled individuals, and increase the amount of earnings permitted without loss of benefits, and for other purposes; without amendment (Rept. No. 2679). Ordered to be printed.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PRI-VATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. JONAS of Illinois: Committee of Conference. H. R. 7886. A bill for the relief of Mrs. Cecil Norton Broy; without amendment (Rept. No. 2677). Ordered to be printed.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS Under clause 4 of rule XXII.

Mr. CURTIS of Massachusetts introduced a bill (H. R. 10286) to amend section 1717 of title 18 of the United States Code, so as to make nonmailable certain defamatory and other matter, which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, private bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. OLIVER P. BOLTON:

H. R. 10287. A bill for the relief of Kazuko Iwata Rausch; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. HILLINGS:

H. R. 10288. A bill for the relief of J. Ross Reed, Jr; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

1146. By the SPEAKER: Petition of the President, House of Representatives, Montevideo, Uruguay, petitioning consideration of their resolution with reference to the Guate-