I am reluctant to deny relief in a case of this kind, but there are at least two persuasive considerations which compel me to withhold approval: (1) A much more desirable remedy is provided for in the revision of the Social Security Act that I approved today, and (2) enactment of S. 277 would establish for the social insurance benefit under conditions identical with those under which, under the basic law, the same benefit must be denied to others similarly situated. Such special legislation, as I stated in veto message S. 218, 84th Congress, is an undesirable and contrary to sound principles of equity and justice.
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