North Cascades National Park Service Complex in the State of Washington, and for other purposes.


S. J. Res. 303. Joint resolution to designate the month of October 1988 as "National Lupus Awareness Month".

S. J. Res. 325. Joint resolutionデザインating the month of May 1989 as "National Tourism Week".

On November 17, 1988:

S. 795. An act to provide for the settlement of water rights claims of the La Jolla, Rincon, San Pasqual, Pauma, and Pala Bands of Mission Indians in San Diego County, California, to authorize the lining of the All American Canal, and for other purposes.

S. 2100. An act to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the United States Army Corps of Engineers to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes.

S. 2102. An act to prohibit the licensing of certain facilities on portions of the Salton and Snake Rivers in Idaho, and for other purposes.

S. 2186. An act to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of management of public buildings.

S. 2209. An act to authorize appropriations to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration for research and development, space flight, control and data communications, construction of facilities, and research and program management, and for other purposes.

S. 2215. An act to amend and extend the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act, and for other purposes.

S. 2470. An act to promote energy conservation and technology competitiveness in the American steel and aluminum industries.


S. J. Res. 332. Joint resolution to designate the period commencing December 11, 1988, and ending December 17, 1988, as "National Drunk and Drugged Driving Awareness Week".

S. J. Res. 352. Joint resolution designating September 24, 1989, as "United States Marshals Bicentennial Day".

§129.150 BILLS DISAPPROVED AFTER SINE DIE ADJOURNMENT

The President announced his disapproval of the following bills with memorandums of disapproval as follows:

H. R. 3621

MEMORANDUM OF DISAPPROVAL

I am withholding my approval of H. R. 3621, the "Children's Television Act of 1988". This bill limits the amount of advertising during children's television programs to between 10 1/2 and 12 minutes per hour. It would also require the Federal Communications Commission, when reviewing an application for renewal of a television broadcast license, to consider whether the broadcaster has "served the educational and informational needs of children in its overall programming".

This Administration has firmly supported the re-establishment of government and private sector policies sensitive to the needs of children and of the family. While the Administration's efforts to increase the amount and quality of children's television programming, the Constitution simply does not empower the Federal Government to oversee the programming decisions of broadcasters in the manner prescribed by this bill.

Conditioning license renewals upon the Federal Government's determination as to the adequacy of a licensee's programming would violate the First Amendment. It would inhibit broadcasters from offering innovative programs that do not fall into regulatory categories and discourage the creation of programs that might not satisfy the tastes of agency officials responsible for considering license renewals.

The bill's limitation on advertising revenue for certain types of programs is not the appropriate way to ensure the financial well-being of children's programming. It is the inappropriate position of favoring certain kinds of programming over others. This type of government regulation may well undermine the First Amendment and the vitality of children's programming. In addition, it raises constitutional issues both by

other means for reservation economic development. Sufficient authority, therefore, exists to carry out the activities envisioned in H. R. 3621.

I would also emphasize that H. R. 3621, with its fundamental qualification of Federal credit programs, is contrary to this Administration's long-standing and ongoing efforts to manage more effectively Federal credit programs.

Because this legislation takes the wrong approach to Indian economic development, I am withholding my approval of H. R. 3621. I note that this bill also contains an unrelated and beneficial provision relating to the transfer of Federal land in Southern California to several bands of Southern California Mission Indians. My disapproval of H. R. 3621 does not affect this needed legislation, which was also included in H. R. 2677, an omnibus Indian affairs bill that I have already approved.

RONALD REAGAN.


H. R. 3966

MEMORANDUM OF DISAPPROVAL

I am withholding my approval of H. R. 3966, the "Children's Television Act of 1988". The bill's limitation on advertising during children's television programs to between 10 1/2 and 12 minutes per hour. It would also require the Federal Communications Commission, when reviewing an application for renewal of a television broadcast license, to consider whether the broadcaster has "served the educational and informational needs of children in its overall programming".

This Administration has firmly supported the re-establishment of government and private sector policies sensitive to the needs of children and of the family. While the Administration's efforts to increase the amount and quality of children's television programming, the Constitution simply does not empower the Federal Government to oversee the programming decisions of broadcasters in the manner prescribed by this bill.

Conditioning license renewals upon the Federal Government's determination as to the adequacy of a licensee's programming would violate the First Amendment. It would inhibit broadcasters from offering innovative programs that do not fall into regulatory categories and discourage the creation of programs that might not satisfy the tastes of agency officials responsible for considering license renewals.

The bill's limitation on advertising revenue for certain types of programs is not the appropriate way to ensure the financial well-being of children's programming. It is the inappropriate position of favoring certain kinds of programming over others. This type of government regulation may well undermine the First Amendment and the vitality of children's programming. In addition, it raises constitutional issues both by

other means for reservation economic development. Sufficient authority, therefore, exists to carry out the activities envisioned in H. R. 3621.

I would also emphasize that H. R. 3621, with its fundamental qualification of Federal credit programs, is contrary to this Administration's long-standing and ongoing efforts to manage more effectively Federal credit programs.

Because this legislation takes the wrong approach to Indian economic development, I am withholding my approval of H. R. 3621. I note that this bill also contains an unrelated and beneficial provision relating to the transfer of Federal land in Southern California to several bands of Southern California Mission Indians. My disapproval of H. R. 3621 does not affect this needed legislation, which was also included in H. R. 2677, an omnibus Indian affairs bill that I have already approved.

RONALD REAGAN.


H. R. 3966

MEMORANDUM OF DISAPPROVAL

I am withholding my approval of H. R. 3966, the "Children's Television Act of 1988". The bill's limitation on advertising during children's television programs to between 10 1/2 and 12 minutes per hour. It would also require the Federal Communications Commission, when reviewing an application for renewal of a television broadcast license, to consider whether the broadcaster has "served the educational and informational needs of children in its overall programming".

This Administration has firmly supported the re-establishment of government and private sector policies sensitive to the needs of children and of the family. While the Administration's efforts to increase the amount and quality of children's television programming, the Constitution simply does not empower the Federal Government to oversee the programming decisions of broadcasters in the manner prescribed by this bill.

Conditioning license renewals upon the Federal Government's determination as to the adequacy of a licensee's programming would violate the First Amendment. It would inhibit broadcasters from offering innovative programs that do not fall into regulatory categories and discourage the creation of programs that might not satisfy the tastes of agency officials responsible for considering license renewals.

The bill's limitation on advertising revenue for certain types of programs is not the appropriate way to ensure the financial well-being of children's programming. It is the inappropriate position of favoring certain kinds of programming over others. This type of government regulation may well undermine the First Amendment and the vitality of children's programming. In addition, it raises constitutional issues both by