Byrd, W. Va. just had a vote on a very important questions on that matter. However, there is no useful obligation to call very serious attention. This whole matter to which I feel it my duty of the President's counsel, Clark Mollenhoff, to make allowance for a charitable deduction, which is wholly in the context of $115,000 over the 5 years.

This article is what Mr. Mollenhoff called a fraud. It is a fraud on the public. Anyone knows that Judge Haynsworth sold his house, valued at $115,000. Some time after that, as a matter of fact, 11 days after they received the deed, the deed had been recorded. Judge Haynsworth purchased that house from Furman University, and in return gave his own house plus $65,000 in cash to Furman University. The Mankiewicz-Bradenton article is so slanted as to be classified completely irresponsible, if not a purposeful attempt to mislead the American people. At one place it reads:

The process of transfer was arranged over a five-year period, during each of which years Haynsworth donated a one-fifth interest in the position of Mr. Mollenhoff on that occasion—I want to call the attention of the Senate to the alleged facts which were contained in the Mankiewicz-Braden article, which were

in issue in Mr. Mollenhoff's television appearance and then compare them with the facts with respect to the situation as it existed. In issue was the transfer of certain property which Judge Haynsworth bought from Furman University, from which he graduated. The Mankiewicz-Braden article is so slanted with little words that the only conclusion anyone can draw from it is that Judge Haynsworth was indulging in a lot of hanky-panky to deprive the Internal Revenue Service of tax dollars it was entitled to receive. In fact, the article says that.

Mr. President, for many, many years, gifts made by people to educational institutions have been a valid legal deduction under our income tax system. This article points out that if it can be demonstrated that it was not done by prior arrangement, it was perfectly legal. What happened was that in 1958 Senator and Mrs. Charles Daniel started the construction of a home, and then conveyed their home in 2 years, half each year, to Furman University at a price of $115,000. Some time after that, as a matter of fact, 11 days after they received the deed, the deed had been recorded. Judge Haynsworth purchased that house from Furman University, and in return gave his own house plus $65,000 in cash to Furman University.
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